UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,265	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	SMA10US	1040
	7590 12/11/200 K RESEARCH PTY L	EXAMINER		
393 DARLING	STREET	ANTONIENKO, DEBRA L		
BALMAIN, 20 [,] AUSTRALIA	41		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/760,265	SILVERBROOK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		DEBRA ANTONIENKO	3689	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPL	Y FILED 13 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
applic applic	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on cation, applicant must timely file one of the following reation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cds:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wl with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
a) Th b) X Th no Ex	he period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire laxaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectior	1.
have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of led is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solonove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). F APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
filing t	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the NTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(a)	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but they raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
	They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			TOL 224)
5. 🔲 Appli 6. 🔲 Newl	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 icant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
7. For pont of the single of t	allowable claim(s). Furposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [the new or amended claims would be rejected is proventatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: fullowed: fullowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
becau	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entere	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	
REQUEST	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
<u>See</u> 12.	<u>below.</u> e the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (. sometime anoward	- 200aaoo.
13. 🔲 Othe	si			
	A. Mooneyham/ ory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689	DA		

As to the argument regarding Official Notice, a "traverse" is a denial of an opposing party's allegations of fact. (Definition of traverse, Black's Law Dictionary: "In common law pleading, a traverse signifies denial.") The Examiner respectfully submits that applicants' arguments and comments do not appear to traverse what Examiner regards as knowledge that would have been generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

In any case, Silverbrook, U.S. Patent Number 5,909,227, teaches that "inkjet printing has become recognized as a prominent contender in the digitally controlled, electronic printing arena because, e.g., of...its use of plain paper" (column 3, lines 55-60). Examiner maintains the obviousness rejection. Enomoto teaches the use of a "well-known ink jet type color digital printer" (column 5, lines 43-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use plain paper in an ink jet printer in order to fulfill the customer's print order.

Furthermore, regarding the limitations of "furnishing the printed plain paper image to the customer and charging the customer for the printing service," Examiner maintains the reference. Enomoto teaches calculating the print charge and delivery date (column 2, lines 43-45). It is well known and obvious to deliver or furnish the print order to the customer on the delivery date and to charge them for the service after calculating the charge.